



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/163,199	09/30/1998	HITOSHI FUKUSHIMA	04783/026001	9722

7590 05/03/2002

G. GREGORY SCHIVELY, ESQ.  
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
5445 CORPORATE DRIVE  
TROY, MI 48098

EXAMINER

GARCIA, MAURIE E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1627

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2002

22

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/163,199

Applicant(s)

Fukushima et al

Examiner

Maurie E. Garcia, Ph. D.

Group Art Unit

1627

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Maurie E. Garcia(3) Mark Frentrup(2) Jyothsna Venkat, SPE(4) Gregory SchivleyDate of Interview Apr 23, 2002Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: Of record.

Identification of prior art discussed:

NoneAgreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) N/A

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner suggested deleting the language "artificially synthesized molecules having recognizing functions similar to those of enzymes or antibodies" to help further prosecution.

The examiner also would carefully consider a declaration with respect to the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (enablement).

However, no agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

J. Venkat  
DR. JYOTHSNA VENKAT PH.D  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Maurie E. Garcia  
MAURIE E. GARCIA, PH. D.  
PATENT EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 1627